			Yesses:	*0*	*14*	
			Nos:	*22*	*8*	

Timestamp	Email Address	Your Name	Street Address	I believe the vacant parcel at the NW corner of Virgina Park and Third (808-816 VP) constitutes a hazard to the safety of the public or the neighborhood.	I believe this vacant parcel would be better left as is than developed according to the developer's current plans	Anything else you want to share about your answers above?
6/7/2025 9:27:22	paulhudsonmack@gmail.com	paul hudson mack	909 Virginia Park Street	No	No	
6/8/2025 14:10:56	kphaber@gmail.com	Katie haber	690 Virginia Park Street	No	Yes	
6/8/2025 14:28:05	szakaljenny@gmail.com	Jenny Szakal	149 Virginia Park St	No	No	
6/8/2025 15:07:57	jeffreyscowin@gmail.com	Jeff Cowin	866 Virginia Park Street	No	Yes	
6/8/2025 20:06:23	donaldrencher@gmail.com	Donald Rencher	759 Virginia Park St	No	No	I fully support this project being developed
6/8/2025 20:07:29	rencher.amy@gmail.com	Amy Rencher	759 Virginia Park St	No	No	The developers have bent over backwards to work
6/9/2025 0:46:27	hyvertmcgrady@yahoo.com	Hyvert McGrady	660 Virginia Park ST	No	Yes	The setback and apparencies are not aligned with
6/9/2025 8:01:23	fmesposito@gmail.com	Francesco Esposito	919 Virginia Park St Detroit MI 48	No	No	While I do not believe the vacant parcel is a hazard
6/9/2025 10:01:54	josh.feasel@gmail.com	Josh Feasel	670 Virginia Park St.	No	No	I support development on this parcel.
6/9/2025 10:59:33	debwilliams15@yahoo.com	Deborah Williams	700 Virginia Park St	No	Yes	
6/9/2025 13:43:29	corduladitz@gmail.com	Cordula Ditz	730 Virginia Park	No	Yes	The setback is unacceptable and the design is not
6/9/2025 13:49:49	andi@discofan.com	Andi Schmidt	730 Virginia Park	No	Yes	I am not generally against a new construction on th
6/9/2025 15:42:16	waldropsteve@yahoo.com	steve waldrop	63/83/97/109 Virginia Park	No	Yes	This multi family structure on Third and Virginia Pa
6/9/2025 17:09:50	caldnnsmm@yahoo.com	Donna Summerhill McGr	660 Virginia Park	No	No	
6/9/2025 19:22:33	mervin.wolffmd@gmail.com	Mervin Wolff	49 Virginia Park St	No	Yes	
6/9/2025 19:23:58	mrsjwolff@gmail.com	Joanne Wolff	49 Virginia Park	No	Yes	
6/9/2025 19:57:32	Jwmas317@gmail.com	James Williams	700 Virginia Park St	No	Yes	
6/9/2025 21:31:23	parys@aol.com	Patricia Ann Felder	160 Virginia Park St	No	Yes	The front of the Proposed Project does not match t
6/10/2025 19:53:11	tsoboleski99@gmail.com	Tim Soboleski	740 Virginia Park	No	Yes	Parking is bad on the second block near 3rd Street
6/10/2025 20:19:00	caldnnsmm@yahoo.com	Donna Summerhill McGr	660 Virginia Park	No	Yes	This is a correct voting on the survey. Void out the
6/11/2025 11:51:06	jodyewise@gmail.com	Jody Wise	130 Virginia Park	No	No	
7/24/2025 16:13:52	Lduncan28.ld@gmail.com	LECREASHA T DUNCAN	633 Virginia Park Street	No	Yes	I believe the project should fit into the overall look

			Yes	14	
			No	16	

Timestamp	Email Address	Your Name	Street Address	I support this design moving forward as is:	Anything else you want to share about your vote above? If 'no', what changes would get you to 'yes'?
4/7/2025 19:00:46	rencher.amy@gmail.com	Amy Rencher	759 Virginia Park Street	Yes	I appreciate that the developers have made several requested changes to better incorporate a more contemporary structure into our neighborhood. The design changes are similar to standards that the City's former Planning Director (Maurice Cox) required for the City Modern developments in the Brush Park neighborhood. The structure's design is also similar to some of the buildings in Brush Park.
4/7/2025 19:25:31	donaldrencher@gmail.com	Donald Rencher	759 Virginia Park St	Yes	
4/11/2025 16:00:32	paulhudsonmack@gmail.com	paul mack	909VP	Yes	I appreciate the developer working with the community to adjust scaling, density, and design. I think this design as is is a signficant improvement and will also hopefully encourage whatever development happens at 801VP to follow similar expectations of community engagement, historic integration, and scale/density
4/12/2025 9:16:35	jodyewise@gmail.com	Jody Wise	130 Virginia Park Street	Yes	Thank you to the developer for taking an interest in our community and the flexibility they have shown.
4/12/2025 9:17:06	haledg@yahoo.com	Dan Hale	130 Virginia Park Street	Yes	
4/12/2025 9:41:00	fmesposito@gmail.com	Francesco Esposito	919 Virginia Park St	Yes	
4/13/2025 7:29:53	tasandjewell21@gmail.com	Tasand Jewell	119 Virginia Park	Yes	
4/16/2025 16:59:28	seanrjustint@gmail.com	Justin Thornton	140 Virginia Park St	Yes	
4/17/2025 11:45:34	kphaber@gmail.com	Katie	690 virginia park	No	An appearance that better integrates with the existing houses historic look.
4/17/2025 13:09:26	waldropsteve@yahoo.com	steve waldrop	63/83/97/109 Virginia Park	No	There are only minor improvements from the last design, other than the reduction in units and the reduction in required parking spaces. The units should have gabled roofs like all the other homes in the area. And brick exterior. The setback should match the adjacent home. It should not protrude beyond the front face line of the adjacent house.
4/17/2025 13:54:33	jeffreyscowin@gmail.com	Jeff Cowin	866 Virginia Park	No	The opportunity to build on this site merits an effort to achieve attractive and transitional architectural design that integrates with our historic homes, street lamps, and brick street.
4/17/2025 14:25:16	gabereal@me.com	Gabe Szakal	149 Virginia Park St.	No	Adding a gabled, mansard or dormer roof would help this design fit into the community much better. If you make this change, I would be far more likely to rally support.
4/17/2025 14:34:22	szakaljenny@gmail.com	Jenny	Szakal	No	I would like to see the design match the style of houses in the neighborhood more
4/17/2025 15:19:03	corduladitz@gmail.com	Andi and Cordula Ditz	730 Virginia Park	No	the facade

			Yes	14	
			No	16	

Timestamp	Email Address	Your Name	Street Address	I support this design moving forward as is:	Anything else you want to share about your vote above? If 'no', what changes would get you to 'yes'?
4/18/2025 14:34:07	earcherd@gmail.com	Erin Archerd	670 Virginia Park	Yes	The developers have made some changes to the design, which I appreciate. I was okay with the larger number of units in the first plan, but it is nice that they moved the structure back from the street and cut down on the number of units. I like the new pediments above some of the doorways and the use of brick facing. The design still looks very modern, though. What is going on with the roof lines? Perhaps some gables on the roof? I'm not a huge fan of the houses the city employee was referencing in the block club meeting (you can see them on Euclid across from the Coop on Zillow). I think the current design of these town homes works better than those concrete block houses. Ideally, the new units would look more like the row houses around the corner at 3rd and Euclid, but I have no idea whether more wood or brick that would fit the style of materials currently found in the neighborhood would be economically feasible. Ultimately, I would like to see something like a cafe or coffee shop in our neighborhood, and if we allow some development in the neighborhood that increases density, then maybe the old hospital site will be developed and we will see some of those things. I'm thinking about something like Cafe Noir in the North End. While I don't love the current design, I could live with it and I think some new building would be good for the neighborhood in terms of attracting investment and increasing property values.
4/18/2025 14:40:41	mrdondo@hotmail.com	Donald Straith	120 Virginia Park	Yes	
4/18/2025 14:50:47	romeajs@yahoo.com	Anthony Smith	150 VIRGINIA PARK ST	Yes	
4/18/2025 14:57:39	lulufall@aol.com	Ludgarde	741 Seward Street	No	I don't feel comfortable with the building of these condos. It will support gentrification, and raise property taxes, rent, and will severely change the integrity of this historic neighborhood.
4/18/2025 15:32:15	mrbradley9019@gmail.com	Ian Bradley	60 Virginia park	Yes	No
4/19/2025 13:18:37	jeffrey.a.stamm@gmail.com	Jeffrey Stamm	899 Virginia Park St	No	Less modern facade
4/19/2025 16:19:24	bigsexxxy1969@gmail.com	Byron Ware	8343 3rd street	Yes	No
4/21/2025 17:58:02	debwilliams15@yahoo.c	Deborah Williams	700 Virginia Park St	No	Put a single family home here

			Yes	14	
			No	16	

Timestamp	Email Address	Your Name	Street Address	I support this design moving forward as is:	Anything else you want to share about your vote above? If 'no', what changes would get you to 'yes'?
4/21/2025 18:03:09	hyvertmcgrady@yahoo.c	Hyvert McGrady	660 Virginia Park St.	No	
4/21/2025 18:31:21	debwilliams15@yahoo.c	James Williams	700 Virginia Park	No	Build a single family home
4/21/2025 18:56:50	caldnnsmm@yahoo.com	Donna Summerhill McGr	660 Virginia Park	Yes	
4/22/2025 22:04:15	mervin.wolffmd@gmail.c	Mervin G Wolff, MD	49 Virginia Park	No	Design is not consistent with homes on this block
4/22/2025 22:08:53	parys@aol.com	Patricia Ann Felder	160 Virginia Park St	No	Please change the front to a dark brick color facing Virginia Pa
4/22/2025 23:16:50	caldnnsmm@yahoo.com	Donna McGrady	660 Virginia Park	No	
4/24/2025 15:21:08	angelo_gilmore@yahoo.c	Angelo Gilmore	70 Virginia Park	No	Change the design to blend in with the neighborhood.
4/29/2025 22:29:30	adamrobert22872@gma	Robert Adams	657 Virginia Park St. Detr	No	N/A

			Avg	2.86	2.05	2.00	2.10	2.19	
			Median	3	2	2	2	2	
			St Dev	1.15	1.07	1.05	0.89	1.08	

Timestamp	Email Address	Your Name	Street Address	Regarding the new plans, I think: [Facade]	Regarding the new plans, I think: [Total units (14)]	Regarding the new plans, I think: [Total parking spa	Regarding the new plans, I think: [Recreational spac	Regarding the new plans, I think: [Square footage ra	Regarding any '3' or '4' items above, what changes v
3/14/2025 16:55:56	paulhudsonmack@gmail	paul hudson mack	909 Virginia Park St	2	1	1	2	1	I think if it can be made to look well with the current design, breaking up the facade with the kinds of varied geometric elements in homes in the neighborhood would be nice (e.g., arched / peaked / parapet, etc
3/14/2025 17:09:12	gabereal@me.com	Gabe Szakal	149 Virginia Park St.	4	3	3	2	3	A facade that better represents the historic architecture style of the neighborhood. The modern design doesn't fit here. This isn't Brush Park. Less units and more parking would be ideal. 2 parking spaces per un
3/14/2025 22:02:40	gee3209@gmail.com			4	2	2	2	3	Set back needs to be in line with other houses on the street. Facade needs more character, not modern.
3/15/2025 11:22:22	amy.drayback@gmail.cor	Amy Rencher	759 Virginia Park Street	1	1	1	1	1	I support the project to move forward.
3/15/2025 14:12:26	waldropsteve@yahoo.cor	steve waldrop	63/83/97/109 Virginia P	4	4	2	4	3	1)The Facade should match a historic multi family unit, such as the Row houses on Third at Delaware. The facade should be such that a driver or pedestrian cannot distinguish it's construction date from other d
3/15/2025 15:08:47	brian.d.haber@gmail.com	Brian Haber	690 Virginia Park St	3	2	1	2	1	Prefer more classic and less modern appearance to facade, but understand that May not be feasible.
3/16/2025 8:09:19	earcherd@gmail.com	Erin Archerd	670 Virginia Park St	3	1	1	2	2	The façade should attempt to mimic the circa 1900 to 1920 appearance of other homes in the historic district. This might look something more like an all brick façade and/or some peaked roofs. Overall, I am s I know that there are many neighborhoods in Detroit, where these sorts of townhomes are going in and they are ultra modern, such as the townhomes that are being put in on Palister near Henry Ford. I am confi They could look at the Tudor style homes in the neighborhood, for example. Here are some examples of designs I saw online that capture some of what I am talking about: https://i.pinimg.com/originals/f8/06/e9/f806e97df02704bfa2f1e34dc412dd6a.jpg https://static.wixstatic.com/media/47b87c_d8e6f2e5341e4d7fbc4673627179130~mv2.jpg/v1/fill/w_700,h_460,q_90/47b87c_d8e6f2e5341e4d7fbc4673627179130~mv2.jpg https://st.hzcdn.com/simgs/pictures/exteriors/brownstone-townhomes-abstract-developments-img~9b613d05020acadd_4-9008-1-80a2a60.jpg This following design, for example, captures the attempt at at least putting in peaked roofs while sharing some similarities with the existing design in terms of having the brick accents: https://i.pinimg.com/originals/19/a1/02/19a102da4073bc7621cd023992061e7a.jpg I realize that using wood frame construction with modern facing materials, is not going to fully capture the appearance of other homes in the neighborhood, but The continued use of these boxy forms with colo
3/16/2025 20:20:21	bbentonjr@gmail.com	Butler Benton	669 Virginia Park	3	2	2	2	2	Make the facade architecturally consistent with the neighborhood.
3/17/2025 3:20:06	hyvertmcgrady@yahoo.c	Hyvert McGrady	660 Virginia Park St	4	4	4	2	4	The Virginia Park Original Charter stated there was to be no "multi-family building like the ones on Seward St", but in 1961 Bernard Kilpatrick (Kwame Kilpatrick's father) developed 640 Virginia Park, violating tha The Historic District Commission review and permit process should have ended the project before it got to this point. Historic Indian Village had a summerly developer push, about 15 years ago, they used their charter to win in court preserving the areas historic look. * Facade: The SATBACK for both front and rear would have to be too zoning code for Virginia Park and the original 1890 Virginia Park charter, the front setbacks are greater than other Detroit homes. * Parking Parking would need to meet the zoning code R3H. * Total units The number of units would need to be with in the zoning requirements for R3H ZONING 0 TO 13 UNITS PER ACRE. The project has 2 lots which is about 1/2 acre good for 5 or 6 units. * Square footage ratio In order to keep the building roof height, Spacing and Historic looks the Square Footage ratio will have to be to the R3H code.
3/17/2025 9:40:35	corduladitz@gmail.com	Andi and Cordula Ditz	730 Virginia Park	4	3	4	3	4	Setback should align with the house next to it. Facade should be more apropriate. Parking should be more realistic.
3/17/2025 18:43:32	jodyewise@gmail.com	Jody Wise	130 Virginia Park St	1	1	1	1	1	
3/18/2025 14:39:44	donaldrencher@gmail.co	Donald Rencher	759 Virginia Park	1	1	1	1	1	
3/18/2025 20:37:24	cabinsmm13@gmail.co	Donna McGrady	660 Virginia Park	3	2	2	2	2	Would like to see the white facade change to face
3/19/2025 11:00:48	orvinsmith@yahoo.com	Orvin Smith	837 w.Euclid	2	2	2	2	2	
3/19/2025 14:52:48	aarin.pesko.morales@gm	Aarin Morales	110 Virginia Park	1	1	1	1	1	NA
3/20/2025 11:50:26	seanrjustint@gmail.com	Justin Thornton	140 Virginia Park St	3	1	1	1	1	Would like to see a less modern facade, something
3/22/2025 16:22:40	tracy@cfventuresllc.com	Tracy Reese	830 VP	4	2	2	2	3	I did not see new facade images. Even though the f
3/24/2025 15:45:57	parys@aol.com	Patricia Ann Felder	160 Virginia Park St	4	1	2	3	2	Facade color change. Need space for people to sit
4/21/2025 18:55:49	jodysamuel101@gmail.c	Jody Samuel	633 Virginia Park	4	4	4	4	4	The overall project does not fit in to the historical c
4/21/2025 20:06:55	bbenton@ameritech.net	Butler Benton	669 Virginia Park	2	2	2	2	2	
4/21/2025 20:22:01	angelo_gilmore@yahoo.c	Angelo Gilmore	70 Virginia Park	3	3	3	3	3	I would like the architecture to be able to blend in m