

HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION NOTICE OF DENIAL

City of Detroit - Planning & Development Department 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 808 Detroit, Michigan 48226

Notification Date: 06/17/25 Application Number: HDC2025-00172

NAME: Anthony A King	COMPANY	NAME: Anthony A king	
ADDRESS: 13204 Broadstreet Ave	CITY: Detroit	STATE: CA	ZIP: 48238
PROJECT ADDRESS: 4000 Cortland			
IISTORIC DISTRICT: Russell Woods-Sulliv	an		
COPE: eplace back porch enclosure			

At the Regular Meeting that was held on 06/11/25 , the Detroit Historic District Commission ("DHDC") reviewed the above-referenced application. Pursuant to Section 5(1) and 9(1) of the Michigan Local Historic District Act, as amended, being MCL 399.205 (1), MCL 399.209 (9) and Sections 21-2-78 and 21-2-80 of the 2019 Detroit City Code; the DHDC hereby issues a Denial for the following work, effective on 06/17/25 , as it will be inappropriate according to the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and the district's Elements of Design:

the Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and the district's Elements of Design:
REASON FOR DENIAL:
 □ The two-story masonry columns tie into the house architecturally and materially and allow for expansive open perimeter spaces at each floor. Retaining open qualities of both porches is important to visually and physically balance the two porches with each other while retaining their secondary relationship to the dwelling and serving as an intermediary space between the private spaces of the house and open spaces of the rear yard. The rear porch is a distinctive character-defining feature of the property. □ The first floor rear porch, due to the recessed brick foundation and poured concrete floor, was originally an open, or possibly fully rear screened, porch, which was an important feature on a pre-war house. □ The walled enclosure of the first floor porch altered the features and spaces that characterize the historic dwelling by limiting and enclosing the historic "open-air" feel of the porch. Additionally, T1-11 siding was used for the wall material, matching what was in place prior to district designation. As a panelized product with a textured surface, it does not emulate historic wood clapboard siding. It is susceptible to water damage, insects and its deterioration can cause the ends to shred and flake off, unlike solid wood lap siding.
□ The enclosure was painted bright white, which is a contemporary color that created a too-strong contrast against the dark brick. Variations of off-white, yellowish white, and cream are appropriate contrasting colors to use on early 20th century buildings. □ The metal railing at the second floor almost disappeared against the brick walls and columns furthering the open feeling of the upper porch. The applicant must confirm if the historic age metal railing will be reinstalled. □ As there is visual evidence that a historic age wood railing had been in place at the second floor, a new wood railing can be a compatible selection at this location. A dimensioned drawing, confirming a matching-height wood railing that includes top and bottom rails and a historically appropriate paint color, will be submitted for HDC review prior to installation.
compatible selection at this location. A dimensioned drawing, confirming a matching-height wood railing that includes top and bottom

FAILURE TO MEET STANDARDS:

The Standards for Rehabilitation (codified in 36 CFR 67 for use in the Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives program) address the most prevalent treatment. "Rehabilitation" is defined as "the process of returning a property to a state of utility, through repair or alteration, which makes possible an efficient contemporary use while preserving those portions and features of the property which are significant to its historic, architectural, and cultural values."

As stated in the definition, the treatment "rehabilitation" assumes that at least some repair or alteration of the historic building will be needed in order to provide for an efficient contemporary use; however, these repairs and alterations must not damage or destroy materials, features or finishes that are important in defining the building's historic character.

The Standards are to be applied to specific rehabilitation projects in a reasonable manner, taking into consideration economic and technical feasibility.

Failure to meet standards: 2,9

Corresponding Standard numbered below:

- 1. A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment
- 2. The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.
- Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken.
- 4. Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.
- 5. Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property shall be preserved.
- 6. Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.
- 7. Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible.
- 8. Significant archeological resources affected by a project shall be protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken.
- 9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.
- 10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

FAILURE TO MEET ELEMENTS OF DESIGN:

Failure to meet Elements of Design: N/A
Corresponding design element numbered below:

12. Walls of continuity.
13. Relationship of significant landscape features and surface treatments.
14. Relationship of open space to structures.
15. Scale of façade and façade elements.
16. Directional expression of front elevations.
17. Rhythm of building setbacks.
18. Relationship of lot coverages.
19. Degree of complexity within the façade.
20. Orientation, vistas, overviews.
21. Symmetric or asymmetric appearance.
22. General environmental character.

If you have any questions regarding the above, please contact staff at 313-224-1762 or hdc@detroitmi.gov.

For the Commission:

Garrick Landsberg

Director of Staff, Historic District Commission

PSR: Audra

250618AD

APPEALS PROCESS

The application may be resubmitted for the Historic District Commission's review when suggested changes have been made that address the cited reasons for denial, if applicable. Please be advised that, in accordance with MCL 399. 211 and Section 21-2-81 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, an applicant aggrieved by a decision of the DHDC may file an appeal with the State Historic Preservation Review Board. Within sixty (60) days of your receipt of this notice, an appeal may be filed with:

Jon Stuckey, Michigan Department of Attorney General

2nd Floor, G. Mennen Williams Building

525 West Ottawa Street, P.O. Box 30754, Lansing, MI 48909

Phone: 517-335-0665 E-mail: stuckeyj@michigan.gov



HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION APPLICATION FOR WORK APPROVAL

City of Detroit - Planning & Development Department 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 808 Detroit, Michigan 48226 **APPLICATION ID**

HDC2025-00172

PR	OPERTY INFO	DRMATION						
ADD	RESS(ES): 4000 (Cortland						
HIST	ORIC DISTRICT:	Russell Woods-S	ullivan					
sco	PE OF WORK: (C	heck ALL that apply)	1					
	Windows/ Doors	Walls/ Siding	Painting	Roof/Gutters/ Chimney	X P	orch/Deck/Balcony		Other
	Demolition	Signage	New Building	Addition	(la	ite Improvements andscape, trees, fence atios, etc.)	es,	
The outer each open	back wooden sunroc replacement material reportio will be the sa side rather then the sing.	om has rotten due to als will be wooden a ame t1-11 which was two large screens p	s currently is there s precious used. To precious in place.	. The screens will linere has been no	changes to de	esign other then n	naking 3so	creen on
	PLICANT IDE E OF APPLICANT							
	IE: Anthony A King		Tomeowner	COMPANY NAI	ME: Anthony	A king		
ADDRESS: 13204 Broadstreet Ave			CITY: Detroit		STATE: mi	ZIP: 48	238	
PHONE: +1 (619) 251-5559			EMAIL: Aak1010@aol.com					
I AC	GREE TO ANI	D AFFIRM TH	IE FOLLOW	ING:				
X	I understand that the failure to upload all required documentation may result in extended review times for my project and/or a denied application.							
X	I understand that the review of this application by the Historic District Commission does not waive my responsibility to comply with any other applicable ordinances including obtaining appropriate permits (building, sign, etc.) or other department approvals prior to beginning the work.							
X	I hereby certify that I am the legal owner and occupant of the subject property and that the information on this application is true and correct.							
	Ant	thony A King		Anth	ony A king			
	anthony a kin	ð		04/16	/2025			
SIGN	——DB0B89DD392D438 NATURE 13204	Broadstreet Ave		DATE	De	etroit	CA	48238
+1 (619) 251-5559					ے Aak1010@ao		.	.0200

Questions? Contact us at https://documents.org/nd/4/1762

NOTE: Based on the scope of work, additional documentation may be required. See www/detroitmi.gov/hdc

for scope-specific requirements.

PROJECT DETAILS - TELL US ABOUT YOUR PROJECT

Instructions: Add project details using the text box in each section. If your details exceed the space provided, attach the details via the attachment icon for that section.

ePLANS PERMIT NUMBER:

(only applicable if you've already applied for permits through ePLANS)

HDC2025-00172

GENERAL

1. DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING CONDITION

Please tell us about the current appearance and conditions of the areas you want to change. You may use a few sentences or attach a separate prepared document on the right. (For example, "existing roof on my garage is covered in gray asphalt shingles in poor condition.")

The entire structure is rotten from years of water damage.

2. PHOTOGRAPHS

Help us understand your project. Please attach photographs of all areas where work is proposed.



3. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

In this box, tell us about what you want to do at the areas described above in box #1. (For example, Install new asphalt shingle roofing at garage.)

Remove all existing rotten wood and replace it with like 'new' wood and wooden T1-11

4. DETAILED SCOPE OF WORK

In this box, please describe all steps necessary to complete the work described in box #3. (For example, "remove existing shingles, replace wood deck as necessary, replace wood eaves, install roof vents, replace rotted fascia boards, paint, clean worksite.")

5. BROCHURES/CUT SHEETS

Please provide information on the products or materials you are proposing to install. For example, a brochure on the brand and color of the shingles proposed.

DDITIONAL DETAILS					



