

## LEGAL UNDERPINNINGS

- Primary Considerations (Priority Considerations)
- As close to equal population as possible
- As compact and contiguous as possible
- Other Factors (May also be considered)
- Preserving the integrity of political subdivisions
- Preserving the core of a district
- Maintaining communities of interest
- Election precincts


## PRIMARY CONSIDERATIONS

- As close to equal population as possible $(91,400+/-5 \%)$
- The U.S. Supreme Court has determined that deviations from equal population must be small and justified by a legitimate state policy.
- As compact and contiguous as possible
- Compact - avoid "bizarre shapes" and any other "obvious irregularities" as much as possible. A district that is less dispersed from its central core will be more compact while a district encompassing regions located far from its center will be less compact.
- Contiguous - requires that all areas of the district to connect at some point with the rest of the district.


## OTHER FACTORS THAT MAY BE CONSIDERED

- Preserving the integrity of political subdivisions
- In this case keeping all districts within the City boundaries.
- Preserving the core of a district
- Avoiding as much change as possible for one or more district.
- Maintaining communities of interest
- The Michigan State Constitution defines Communities of Interest as groups or neighborhoods that might "share cultural or historical characteristics or economic interests."
- Election precincts
- Minimizing disruption to election precincts as much as possible.



1 - 100,068 - above max. allowable pop. 2 - 100,347 - above max. allowable pop.
$3-80,928$ - below min. allowable pop.
$4-79,203$ - below min. allowable pop.
$5-86,375$ - below min. allowable pop.
6 - 94,326 - within allowable pop.
7-96,555 - above max. allowable pop.

Total Population divided by 7 is 91,400 Based on +/- 5\% rule, maximum allowable population is 95,970 and minimum population is 86,830

Current City Council Districts

Population Comparison by District 2010-2020

Dist. 1
Dist. 2
Dist. 3
Dist. 4
Dist. 5
Dist. 6
Dist. 7

2010 pop. 2020 pop. \% decrease 104,586 105,741 100,068 4.32

| 2010 pop. | 2020 pop. | \% decrease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 104,586 | 100,068 | 4.32 |
| 105,741 | 100,347 | 5.10 |
| 97,312 | 80,928 | 16.84 |
| 98,948 | 79,203 | 19.95 |
| 97,276 | 86,375 | 11.21 |
| 107,078 | 94,326 | 11.91 |
| 102,826 | 96,555 | 6.10 |


| 2010 pop. | $\underline{2020}$ pop. | \% decrease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 104,586 | 100,068 | 4.32 |
| 105,741 | 100,347 | 5.10 |
| 97,312 | 80,928 | 16.84 |
| 98,948 | 79,203 | 19.95 |
| 97,276 | 86,375 | 11.21 |
| 107,078 | 94,326 | 11.91 |
| 102,826 | 96,555 | 6.10 |

97,312
98,948
79,203
19.95

| 2010 pop. | 2020 pop. | \% decrease |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 104,586 | 100,068 | 4.32 |
| 105,741 | 100,347 | 5.10 |
| 97,312 | 80,928 | 16.84 |
| 98,948 | 79,203 | 19.95 |
| 97,276 | 86,375 | 11.21 |
| 107,078 | 94,326 | 11.91 |
| 102,826 | 96,555 | 6.10 |
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## 2023 Voting Precincts (with population)



## 2023 Voting Precinct Consolidations

- Voting precincts have been consolidated several times in recent years
- Most recently in April 2023, the existing 450 precincts were consolidated to 400 precincts
- As a result, some current district boundaries are no longer available because they split a voting precinct
- This is demonstrated by precinct 101 which is currently divided into districts 3 \& 4 and needs to be reunified in the redrawn districts




1 - 100,068 - above max. allowable pop. 2 - 100,347 - above max. allowable pop.
$3-80,928$ - below min. allowable pop.
$4-79,203$ - below min. allowable pop.
$5-86,375$ - below min. allowable pop.
6 - 94,326 - within allowable pop.
7-96,555 - above max. allowable pop.

Total Population divided by 7 is 91,400 Based on +/- 5\% rule, maximum allowable population is 95,970 and minimum population is 86,830

Current City Council Districts


| Population by District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Propose |  | Current |
| 91,659 | 1 | 100,068 |
| 92,178 | 2 | 100,347 |
| 91,495 | 3 | 80,928 |
| 91,154 | 4 | 79,203 |
| 90,709 | 5 | 86,375 |
| 91,850 | 6 | 94,326 |
| 90,755 | 7 | 96,555 |

Proposed New Districts - Option 1



## DISTRICT 1

Current population - 100,068
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,659
--- Existing City Council Districts

- Election Precincts

DISTRICT 2
Current population - 100,347 Population within new proposed boundary - 92,178
---- Existing City Council Districts ——Election Precincts


DISTRICT 4
Current population - 79,203
Population within new proposed boundary - 91,154
--- Existing City Council Districts
——Election Precincts
OPTION ONE


## DISTRICT 6

Current population - 94,326
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,850
--- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts


DISTRICT 7
Current population - 96,555
Population within new
proposed boundary - 90,755
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DISTRICT 1
Current population - 100,068
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,032
---- Existing City Council Districts -Election Precincts



## DISTRICT 4

Current population - 79,203
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,260
--- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts



## DISTRICT 6

Current population - 94,326
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,882
---- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts



 <br> \title{
Option 3 <br> \title{
Option 3 <br> Maintain current District 5 boundaries
}


Population by District Proposed Current 91,032 1 100,068 91,680 2 100,347
91,9043 80,928
91,371 4 79,203
91,538 5 86,375
91,1086 94,326
$91,167 \quad 7 \quad 96,555$
Proposed New Districts - Option 3





## DISTRICT 4

Current population - 79,203
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,371
---- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts




## DISTRICT 7

Current population - 96,555
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,167





# Option 4 <br> Greater impact to all districts <br> $=$ 
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Population by District
Proposed Current
91,582 1 100,068
91,370 2 100,347
90,103 3 80,928

90,421 4 79,203
91,755 5 86,375
91,414 6 94,326
91,913 7 96,555
Total Population divided by 7 is 91,400 Based on +/- $5 \%$ rule,
maximum allowable population is 95,970 and minimum population is 86,830
--- Existing City Council Districts

- Election Precincts



## DISTRICT 1

Current population - 100,068
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,582
--- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts




## DISTRICT 4

Current population - 79,203 Population within new proposed boundary - 90,421
----Existing City Council Districts Election Precincts

## OPTION FOUR




## DISTRICT 6

Current population - 94,326
Population within new proposed boundary - 91,414

## --- Existing City Council Districts

Election Precincts




## Population by District

Proposed Current

| 91,823 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 100,068 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 94,920 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 100,347 |
| 89,177 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 80,928 |
| 92,230 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 79,203 |
| 88,687 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 86,375 |
| 90,537 | $\mathbf{6}$ | 94,326 |
| 91,184 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 96,555 |

## Proposed New Districts - Option 5

Total Population divided by 7 is 91,400 Based on +/-5\% rule,
maximum allowable population is 95,970 and minimum population is 86,830
---- Existing City Council Districts

- Election Precincts



## DISTRICT 1

Current population - 100,068
Population within new proposed boundary - 91,823
---- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts

## OPTION FIVE





## DISTRICT 4

Current population - 79,203
Population within new
proposed boundary - 92,230
---- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts

## OPTION FIVE




## DISTRICT 6

Current population - 94,326
Population within new
proposed boundary - 90,537
---- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts


## DISTRICT 7

Current population - 96,555
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,184
---- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts



 <br> \title{
Option 6 <br> \title{
Option 6 <br> Minimize change to existing districts
}


> | Population by District |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proposed | Current |  |
| 94,815 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 100,068 |
| 93,288 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 100,347 |
| 87,393 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 80,928 |
| 88,204 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 79,203 |
| 90,056 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 86,375 |
| 91,087 | $\mathbf{6}$ | 94,326 |
| 93,715 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 96,555 |

Total Population divided by 7 is 91,400 Based on +/-5\% rule, maximum allowable population is 95,970 and minimum population is 86,830

Proposed New Districts - Option 6


DISTRICT 1
Current population - 100,068 Population within new proposed boundary - 94,815
--- Existing City Council Districts
-Election Precincts
OPTION SIX




## DISTRICT 4

Current population - 79,203
Population within new
proposed boundary - 88,204
---- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts



## DISTRICT 6

Current population - 94,326
Population within new
proposed boundary - 91,087
---- Existing City Council Districts
Election Precincts


DISTRICT 7
Current population - 96,555 Population within new proposed boundary - 93,715

