



Bryan Ferguson
District 1



Linda Bernard
District 2



Cedric Banks
District 3



Willie E. Bell
District 4



Willie E. Burton
District 5



Lisa Carter
District 6



Ricardo Moore
District 7



Jesus Hernandez
At-Large



Jim Holley
At-Large



Annie Holt
At-Large



QuanTez Pressley
At-Large



BOPC & Civilian Oversight of the Police Department

BOPC and Community Thought Starters on Expanding DPD Use of License Plate Surveillance

Questions and potential changes BOPC is considering:

- Will each LPR be 100% fixed, or are they portable, mobile, or a combination?
- Are image angles only from the rear, or will they also be from the front (as some states license plates are also on the front) and/or side?
- Similar to AMBER Alerts, will the technology also sync with Silver Fox, LEIN, and NCIC (National Crime Information Center)?
- What is the geographic scope of the AMBER Alert integration (i.e. Michigan, Ohio, national)?
- What is the annual budget for LPRs current and future state?
- Are there any DPD Policies (Directives) that are changing with the expansion of LPRs?
- Prohibit taking photographs of private property
- Edit the following language in section (b)(2):
 - “The proposed technology is intended to advance the following **constitutional** purposes.”
- Add language in section (7)(c) pertaining to “In the event protected information is collected, the Police Department will cause for its deletion.”
 - **Upon identifying that protected information has been collected, DPD will report the following to BOPC within 15 days:**
 - **Type of data collected**
 - **Date range of collection**
 - **Extent of impact (i.e. how many person’s data was collected)**
 - **DPD members who had access to the data**
 - **Date and method of destruction**
 - Also add timeframes for periodic scanning for inadvertently collected protected information, and timeline for deletion. *(continued on back)*

BOPC & Civilian Oversight of the Police Department: LPRs and Surveillance

BOPC and Community Thought Starters - Page 2

- In section (9)(a) include the “up to one year” time period quoted in section (9)(c); and indicate that this is a DPD only policy or in compliance with State law/MCOLEs.
- Add language in section (10) as follows:
 - “The Police Department has entered into Data Sharing Agreements with a number of law enforcement agencies. Under no circumstances are members of the department or **other law enforcement agencies within a sharing agreement** authorized to share information for the purpose of assessing immigration status or enforcing immigration laws.”

Not sure what to say during the hearing?

Ask questions about the use and function of the technology, similar to the questions BOPC is asking.

Also be prepared to answer these questions:

- Do you agree that License Plate Readers technology should be expanded?
- What do you think about License Plate Readers as a solution for identifying the location of and recovering stolen vehicles and missing persons?
- Would you propose to add or remove anything from the list of proposed uses of LPRs:
 - Auto-theft prevention and deterrence
 - Apprehension of suspects and fugitives
 - Locating AMBER Alert vehicles
 - Furthering investigations of serious crimes
 - Other legitimate law enforcement purposes (e.g., crime analysis)
- Would you propose to add or remove anything from the list of PROHIBITED uses of LPRs:
 - To take still-photographs of individuals
 - Traffic enforcement
 - Track an individual's movements outside of a vehicle