




# Board of Police Commissioners

## LPR Public Hearing and Report Review

May 25, 2023




# Public Feedback



## 5/11 Public Hearing + 5/18 Public Comments

23 members of the public commented on LPRs during the Public Hearing or General Public Comments

- 19 – do not support LPRs
- 2 – support LPRs
- 2 – support LPRs with conditions



<b>Email Feedback</b>	<p>6 emails received by <a href="mailto:bopc@detroitmi.gov">bopc@detroitmi.gov</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 – support LPRs</li> <li>• 1 – support if proven effective</li> <li>• 4 – do not support LPRs</li> </ul>
-----------------------	---

# Specification Report Language Negotiations



Change Requested	Status	Outcome
Clarify that technology is able to capture driver, passengers, and personal property, but that is not the intent of the technology	●	Done
Clarify that automatic comparison of “information” is specifically “license plates”	●	Done
Oversight Reporting: Specified reporting elements be delivered to BOPC within 15 days of DPD identifying that protected information has been collected	●	Done
Clarify that the retention policy referenced is a DPD-only policy and is in compliance with Michigan Law	●	Done
Oversight Reporting: Indicate that DPD will provide BOPC with a list of DPD members with LPR technology access.	●	Done
Prohibit taking photographs of personal property	●	Prohibit willfully taking photographs...
Oversight Reporting: Indicate how many law enforcement agencies DPD has Data Sharing Agreements with	●	Done; and DPD will update BOPC monthly
Oversight Reporting: Indicate that DPD will provide BOPC with a list of agencies DPD has Data Sharing Agreements with	●	Agreed <u>verbally</u> to provide the list to BOPC and update monthly
Immediate deletion of protected information collected by the technology	●	Deletion as soon as practical
Store data on DPD servers rather than “centralized location”	●	Vendor servers, meet federal security standards



QuanTaz Pressley

# Specification Report Language Negotiations




Change Requested	Status	Outcome
Agree on the proposed Data Management flowchart and add to Report or as a supplemental document	●	Agreed to work on coming to an agreement on a data management flowchart as a supplemental document, but not started yet
Ensure that license plate reads that do not have a match in a “hot list” are not stored (immediately destroyed).	●	Not done; reduced retention not negotiated
Ensure that license plate reads that do not have a match in a “hot list” are not shared.	●	Not done
Indicate that DPD will not enter into Data Sharing Agreements with non-law enforcement entities for sharing LPR data at all or without BOPC approval.	●	Not done
Ensure that law enforcement agencies with Data Sharing Agreements only use the data the way DPD does (i.e. higher level crimes)	●	Not done
Oversight Reporting: Monthly list of masked license plate reads that were a hit, so eligible for storage and sharing, and the hot list they match (i.e. AMBER)	●	Will not provide data, only aggregate numbers which cannot be verified
Oversight Reporting: Of the hits, which were “usable” matches (i.e. furthered an investigation)	●	Not done
Periodic audit (i.e. every 30 days) to determine if protected information has been collected.	●	Not done



QuanTaz Pressley

# Potential Remaining Work



- If it pleases the Board, staff can continue to work with DPD to finalize the LPR Specification Report and relevant policy directives to address the public's concerns around privacy, civil rights infringement, effectiveness, and excessive surveillance.
  - Data Management, Retention and Sharing Parameters
  - Oversight Reporting to BOPC
  - DPD Policy Directive 101.12-Data-Sharing
- Continue to obtain public feedback/buy in.
- Once the Board votes to adopt and publish the LPR Surveillance Technology Specification Report, DPD will be approved to move forward with the next steps in the procurement process and BOPC will no longer have influence on the specification report.