

Donovan Smith
Chairperson
Melanie Markowicz
Vice Chair/Secretary

Marcell R. Todd, Jr.
Director

City of Detroit
CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
208 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Phone: (313) 224-6225 Fax: (313) 224-4336
e-mail: cpc@detroitmi.gov

Kenneth R. Daniels
David Esparza, AIA, LEED
Ritchie Harrison
Gwen Lewis
Frederick E. Russell, Jr.
Rachel Udabe

City Planning Commission Meeting

MINUTES
February 15, 2024
5:00 P.M.

I. Opening

A. Call to Order – Chairperson Donovan Smith called the meeting to order at 6:04 p.m.

B. Roll Call

Attendees: Kenneth Daniels, David Esparza, Ritchie Harrison, Gwen Lewis (departed 9:47 p.m.), Melanie Markowicz, Frederick Russell, Donovan Smith and Rachel Udabe

A quorum was present.

This CPC meeting had in-person and online Spanish interpreters.

C. Amendments to and approval of agenda

Commissioner Markowicz moved to approve the agenda, seconded by Commissioner Daniels. Motion approved.

II. Meeting minutes of November 2, 2023

Commissioner Markowicz moved to approve the minutes, seconded by Commissioner Esparza. Motion approved.

III. Public Hearings, Discussions and Presentations

- A.** **5:15 PM PUBLIC HEARING** – To consider the request of the Detroit International Bridge Company, LLC (DIBC) and the City of Detroit City Planning Commission (CPC) to amend Article XVII, Section 50-17-44, District Map No. 42 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Chapter 50, Zoning, generally located near the intersection of St. Anne and West Fort Streets. The DIBC is requesting the zoning changes in general to allow for future bridge plaza operations and parking and to make the zoning in the area more consistent with the existing bridge plaza operation land use (CG) **75 mins**

Present: Chris Gulock, CPC Staff
Luke Polcyn, Mayor's Office

Petitioners: Mr. Dobson and Kevin Kalczynski, DIBC

HRRA: Sam Butler, Hubbard-Richard Residents Association

Chris Gulock, CPC Staff, presented via PowerPoint a brief summary of the Detroit International Bridge Company (DIBC) request for a rezoning of Map 42 via PowerPoint and based on CPC Report of February 12, 2024. According to the CPC report, the site is described, "The Ambassador Bridge plaza is generally located between St. Anne St. to the east and W. Grand Boulevard (Blvd.) to the west, just north of W. Fort St." Mr. Gulock mentioned the bridge plaza has expanded over the years. The Basilica of Sainte Anne de Detroit (St. Anne's church) is a famous church on this site. This is a request for a large rezoning at the base of the ambassador bridge, and the focus is on the Hubbard-Richard area. The City's administration including Mayor Duggan, representatives of DIBC, and residents of Hubbard-Richard Residents Association (HRRA) negotiated and entered into a community agreement, and this community agreement sets the reason why there is a rezoning hearing. Mr. Gulock displayed a map detailing the community agreement and presented a summary of the community agreement. He showed a map that resulted from the community agreement with blue border outlining. This important blue borderline is at the eastern border on 16th Street, to the southern border on Fort Street, St. Anne Street on the western edge, and an alleyway on the west. DIBC owns the entire block except for one property. DIBC owns 10 parcels and agreed to deed these properties to the HRRA, and DIBC agreed not to purchase any properties in that area. A part of the agreement involves Plaza A & Plaza B, and they are parts of the rezoning. The City will close 15th from Lafayette to Fort and from St. Anne's to Lafayette to Fort. The goal for the Greyhound bus repair garage is demolition of the building and create a new parcel, labeled parcel one. DIBC will donate parcel one and deed it to the HRRA, and the community agreement requires that it is redeveloped. It will be east of 18th street. DIBC is proposing to reopen 16th street to create and reconnect the grid from the neighborhood to the North to Fort Street, to make up for closing St. Anne Street and 15th Street. DIBC will reopen 15th and dedicate it back to the City. DIBC would continue to own and put in a new berm, and according to the community agreement to extend the berm all the way down between Lafayette.

DIBC owns the entire Block B, and they requested rezoning it from M4, PD to B6 to allow the plaza to expand and support their future operations. Mr. Mike Kelly, a private citizen owns property in the area, and CPC Staff has notified him by mail and awaiting his response. The Basilica of Sainte Anne de Detroit church supports DIBC's operations.

Sam Butler, representative of HRRA, discussed the community agreement and the neighborhood's lingering concerns. He described that HRRA community experienced a new wave of fear stemming from learning that DIBC had the desire to close St. Anne Street and acquire properties east of the existing wall. The plaza has been expanded several times over the years. The last time the plaza was expanded it involved the acquisition and/or demolition of over 100 residential properties some being included in this rezoning request. Mr. Butler explained that HRRA desires to give the community assurances that protect their neighborhood from further encroachment, and they want to put issue of plaza expansion to rest once and for all. They first established a non-acquisition area within the neighborhood, and the DIBC transferred properties within that area to help give HRRA a guarantee that they would keep their commitment. DIBC owns a large assemblage of land to the east of 14th Street and 16th Street, Greyhound property and the plaza to the west creating a

horseshoe of land. HRAA wanted to break it up and negotiated that a portion of the Greyhound property is donated to HRAA, and it allows HRAA to activate the space and further flow to the riverfront and helps assure that 18th Street and 16th Street will not close. HRAA acknowledges the deal in the community agreement is not perfect, and the neighborhood is not excited about closing St. Anne Street which is a major concession. Most neighbors support it since they recognize this is the most concrete protection they ever had about DIBC's expansion.

Luke Polcyn, Mayor's Office discussed via PowerPoint the community agreement. He mentioned that Mayor Duggan, Council Member Santiago-Romero and Council Member Waters participated in meetings. Mr. Polcyn highlighted the engagement timeline. He detailed the aspects of the community agreement that DIBC donates the following:

- 10 properties donated to HRAA (DIBC pays \$20,000 per property to support development and maintenance of the properties.)
- 4.5 acre development site to HRAA
- Roberto Clemente lot to the City
- A new fully reconstructed 16th Street

Further, Mr. Polcyn explained DIBC's promised protection area highlighted on the community agreement map wherein the DIBC is no longer seeking any future acquisition. A focus of the City, HRAA and DIBC is to preserve the character of the neighborhood.

Mr. K. Dobson discussed DIBC's plaza expansion the development of two new inspection lanes for efficiency to get vehicles on and off the plaza as quickly possible. Mr. Dobson explained the two new lanes for primary inspections will increase throughput and reduce the amount of wait idling time and noises. Primarily this is allowed with expansion of Plaza A. Plaza expansion B is to the south of west Lafayette or to the west of 18th Street or Fort Street. It will allow for creation of a new customs and border patrol processing center. Later, Mr. Dobson stated there will be no trucks in the area of Plaza B, only cars. Therefore, there will not be a 20 foot wall there.

Mr. Gulock mentioned that the wall needs to be expanded around the new plaza. It has to be 20 feet high on the south side of Howard and west side of St. Anne and part of the east part and then step down to a 10 foot high brick wall along South side Lafayette, 18th Street and Fort street close to the existing wall. Also, he discussed the community support via an email received by residents of Hubbard-Richard, Daniel Meck raising concerns about the rezoning.

Commissioner Udabe asked regarding the site that is not included in the excluded area and if there is a future desire to purchase this land that was not a part of the community agreement.

Mr. Butler responded that area is a major source of contention. The DIBC does have intentions to rezone those properties, and the neighborhood plans to fight them on the purchase. Therefore, he summed that the agreement says that they will agree to disagree.

Mr. Gulock stated that DIBC does want the right to acquire those properties in the future, but it is not a part of the community agreement nor the rezoning at the present time.

Mr. Gulock responded that Mr. Dobson's diagram will be shared with CPC, and community agreement is a public document. The DIBC plans to demolish the Greyhound building and

there are some environmental issues to study. The land would be donated to a fiduciary and they would partner with the community to redevelop the land. This was in response to Commissioner Esparaza's questions.

Commissioner Markowicz spoke on the health and environmental aspects and risks of this development. She asked to see a site plan with heights of the walls and vegetative buffer along the wall.

Public Comment:

Timarie Szwed, CPC Staff, read aloud on record a public comment from Jennifer Garu expressing concerns on the DIBC rezoning.

Patricia Collum Gillis commented on wanting more housing for the City and expressed her gratitude.

Raina commented concerns for the property returned to community that contains berms. She asked what's the contents, current environmental safety status and hazards for the berms? Once it is turned over for the community, who will be responsible for the berms in the future? Also, she commented concerns for water and air pollution.

Daniel Meck commented on his submitted email. He mentioned the proposed wall, the community's past dealings with DIBC, DIBC distrust, street closures and their developments for the plazas.

Lisa Gonzales says she has seen this area develop throughout the years literally think it's sad that the walls take over where the church is at, if they can do something about all the trucking and pollutions.

Kevin Kalczynski of DIBC stated the uses of Plaza A and Plaza B are disclosed to the community. The Berm depicted on map is designed as a buffer in areas owned on 14th Street to Fort Street and will be built according to City ordinance, and DIBC will maintain it. Additionally, Mr. Kalczynski pointed out to Commissioner Markowicz that the height and aesthetics of the wall are discussed in the agreement. It was agreed no trucks in the area as part of the negotiations with HRRRA. It will be consistent with the existing buffer that runs in the other direction towards Bagley. He mentioned a received letter of support signed by Mr. McInerney from Archdiocese of Detroit, Sainte Anne's Church as relates to closure of St. Anne Street. Mr. Kalczynski made these statements as responses to public comments and Commissioners' questions.

Kevin Kalczynski stated DIBC does not have a current plan other than incorporating it into the assemblage that they own along 14th Street, and they are evaluating different uses in that area. It is a significant parcel and spent on time putting together Parcel 2. 15th Street DIBC owns the property on both sides and believe that under the City Code when the landowner owns property on both sides typically it can be vacated and used to the benefit of the property. This was in response to Commissioner Markowicz's questions regarding the berm, what is going to be occurring on parcel 2 and what is the need to close 15th Street.

Commissioner Markowicz requested a map with additional context with an overlay of what properties that DIBC owns.

Mr. Dobson stated it is approximately 35 acres now and then 38 acres after the new

development is complete. This was in response Commissioner Russell’s questions on number of acres compose the current bridge site.

Mr. Kevin Kalczynski responded that DIBC values investments in technology on the plaza that will enhance operations efficiently. Further Mr. Kalczynski discussed the capacity of an international bridge is completely dependent on the customs policy of the U.S. side (U.S. Customs and Border Protection - CBP) and customs policy of the Canadian side (Canadian Border Services Agency – CBSA). DIBC bridge services about six million vehicles a year. The cueing and idling is a function of customs’ policy at both sides of the border. He stated that space is critical for DIBC to increase throughput. This was in response to Commissioner Russell’s questions regarding technology.

Mr. Gulock mentioned that CPC Staff will bring this item back in the near future.

B. 6:30 PM PUBLIC HEARING – The request to amend the text of the Zoning Ordinance, Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code – to update definitions, regulations, and procedures relative to child care facilities for consistency with updated State law and to broaden the opportunities for such facilities on a by-right or conditional basis. **(RB, and the Office of Early Learning)** 75 mins

Present: Rory Bolger, CPC Staff and Dr. Lisa Sturges, Office of Early Learning
Latonya Ellington, Michigan Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA)

Rory Bolger, CPC Staff, via PowerPoint and based on CPC report dated February 14, 2024 presented the proposed and revised child care facilities text amendment to Chapter 50. He explained the three different kinds of child care facilities, child care centers (outside the home), family day care homes (1-6 children), and group day care homes (7-12 children). This ordinance provides definitions of the child care facilities ordinance update to match the definitions with the State of Michigan. The amendment proposes child care facilities would be newly permitted on a conditional basis on lands zoned R1 and R2 as a standalone principal use. Child care facilities operating in conjunction with schools, churches and community centers would continue to be viewed as an accessory use. Child care centers are newly permitted on a by-right basis on lands zoned parks and recreation. He reviewed all the specific zones which child care facilities will be newly permissible and prohibited. He described newly proposed requirements for outdoor play areas, employee off-street parking, and protective noise standards. Dr. Bolger reviewed the January 5, 2024 meeting wherein CPC voted to add provisions to the ordinance as follows:

- The spacing provision that would specify the minimum distance between any two group day care homes as the lesser distance of either on the same block face or 500 linear feet.
- The provision for notification to nearby properties upon approval of a group day care home, which identifies the location and other required information pertaining to the care provider and enforcing agencies.
- Family day care homes and group day care homes are required to provide rear yard fencing.
- Family day care homes and group day care homes are required by the ordinance to be operated so as not to create a nuisance.

CPC Staff acknowledges the revisions to the ordinance, recognizes the issue of equity and aims to respond to the needs of low income Detroiters as guided by the American Planning Association standards. Many child care providers are low-income, and the current \$1,100 filing fee for a conditional use hearing for the group day care home presents an obstacle to a

provider's home child care services expansion. Later, Dr. Bolger named all 10 organizations that submitted letters of support for the proposed child care ordinance revisions.

Director Sturges emphasized the fact that quality child care has a big impact on a child's development, well-being, and readiness for school, and it impacts the parents' ability to work. Also, Director Sturges shared statistics of child care needs of about 37,000 and available licensed child care provider seats as 21,000 with a deficiency of over 15,000 in the City without access. She explained the distinction of LARA roles as enforces State guidelines (health, education and safety), and the City's role is responsible for zoning. She stated that nationally 30% of families rely on home based care, and Detroit only 6% licensed facilities are home based. She summarized results from an Office of Early Learning distributed child care survey wherein 85 % of respondents said they would prefer child care that is close to home. The survey results noted that nearly 75% of respondent neighbors have no concerns if there was a home child care based program, and other concerns raised were additional traffic, safety of children, taking up parking spaces and unacceptable noise. She showed current photographs of existing home-based programs. Dr. Sturges discussed the findings of the Office of Early Learning's research of other nearby cities' regulations on child care uses (see 2/14/2024 CPC report). Dr. Sturges discussed the Department of Office of Early Learning created and circulated petition after its Staff reached out and shared child care data with the community. Many interested community members signed the petition, and she announced that at the time of the meeting 250 – 270 supporter's signatures were on the petition.

Public Testimony

Betty Favors, child care provider, commented opposition to the proposed ordinance. She is concerned with children's safety including dog attacks. She mentioned project green light safety.

Nina Hodge, child care provider, commented opposition to the proposed ordinance. She is against by-right provision for crime rate, loud music, marijuana smoke and smell, employee parking, dog attack dangers and registered sex offenders. She also mentioned provisions for fencing in the yard.

Rita Rose, former foster care provider, commented opposition to the proposed ordinance due to safety of the children and stray dogs. She suggested placing child care in public schools.

Ken Rubble commented and offered his experience with early child care working with the grants and State resources. He has information on available and underutilized grants for the community.

Veronica Williams, in-home day care group home provider, commented opposition to the proposed ordinance. She raised concerns with the City's high fees associated with transferring to a group home, including hiring architect. She suggested upgrading family day care to group day care and she admonished the City to cut the red tape. She raised concerns with noise from nearby schools.

Commissioner Smith asked Dr. Bolger to look into upgrading or re-classifying family child care center as a group home check out as a potential option to offer.

Denise Smith commented her experience with Black Family Development, early childhood education and supporting child care providers in Detroit and Flint. She acknowledged the

current child care provider gap and child care deserts. She referred to her 2015 report where she discovered 15 high need child care neighborhoods in the City of Detroit.

Virtual Public Testimony

Pat Bosch is against the ordinance change in R1 zoned properties. She says no to by-right uses and that these are subject to land use zoning.

Commissioner Smith called Attorney Dan Arking to speak and add to the record. Attorney Arking read the most recent letters of support and the organizations that submitted the letters including letters of support from the Metropolitan Black Business Association and Rock Family of Companies.

Gage Minkley of Rock Family of Companies commented the companies' support for the proposed ordinance revisions. He explained that it is critical to the quality of life for their workers and their families. He mentioned that their company has challenges of attracting and retaining talent due to an inability to find quality affordable child care.

Latonya Glover, group child care home provider, commented her experience going through conditional use process licensed as up to 12 children and she is in support of the expansion to care for children safe quality and dependable care. She made a statement on the noise that child care facilities have, schedule that is reviewed by LARA, parking is not an issue and her neighbors do not complain. She explained that she has benefited greatly from expansion and she is able to hire staff.

Gwendolyn Shivers, child care provider, commented support for the proposed ordinance revisions. She mentioned it took a long time to receive approval for the licenses to go to group home, and it took almost a year to transfer to the group license.

Mr. Cooper spoke for ill wife, Marisca Cooper. He commented that she is child care provider with experience in corporate child care and consulting. She has noticed a need for child care facilities and some places have waiting lists for child care.

Taylor Leonard, mother of toddler stated that is difficult to find child care for toddlers and younger children, including working mothers. Many local centers will not allow a child to join before the age of two and some have lines, processes and fees to try to get a spot. She described how people camp out at midnight for an 8:00 a.m. open registration.

Tiffany McKnight employee of a licensed home facility commented her support. She described her prior difficulty finding child care. She explained that there were three homes in her neighborhood, and none had space to take young children at the time, so she was unemployed for four years until her boss hired her and she can bring her children with her. It is imperative have childcare expanded in their neighborhood. People without a car would be able to take their children to nearby day care home and ride the bus to work.

Lisa Gonzales commented her support for the proposed ordinance, She mentioned southwest Detroit needs child care for 0-4 years old, including a bilingual child care need.

Cynthia Martin commented her concerns about the infrastructure and licensing consultants at the State level and help them maintain quality in this process. She spoke to opening up the U.S. borders and being able to support their (immigrants) child care needs.

Commissioner Harrison asked questions regarding safety, crime and folks are leaving their child at home with no care.

Attorney Arking said that Buildings, Safety Engineering Department (BSEED) has conducted 29 conditional land use hearings for group daycare homes, and none have been denied. Also, he stated based on information from working with Dr. Sturges and other child care experts that the children often live in the immediate area, and they are in the neighborhood, so it may be safer in a licensed facility. This was in response to discussions about safety.

Dr. Sturges stated if a family cannot find child care, children may be left with older siblings, boyfriends, sometimes left alone or while their parents are sleeping. When families are looking for care, the provision of being somewhere close to the neighborhood is a good choice for them, especially for infants and toddlers, where they cannot find care in other places.

Commissioner Smith asked if the proposed changes could be listed out for a comparison so if CPC wants to make changes it is clear on one sheet.

Mr. Todd stated they would be prepared to return next week with this matter.

Mr. Todd stated that due to time restrictions, CPC Staff and Housing and Revitalization Department are prepared to compete the agenda on the next day.

CHAIRPERSON SMITH RECESSED THE MEETING UNTIL FEBRUARY 16, 2024, AT 2:30 P.M. IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (COW) WITHOUT OBJECTION.

Chairperson Donovan Smith reconvened the meeting on February 16, 2024 at 2:44 p.m.

Director Todd called the roll and the following Commissioners were present:

Kenneth Daniels, David Esparza, Ritchie Harrison, Melanie Markowicz, Frederick Russell (arrived at 2:59 p.m.), Donovan Smith and Rachel Udabe

A quorum was present.

- C. **7:45 PM PRESENTATION** – Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) and Neighborhood Opportunity Fund Program (NOF) 2024-25 Grant Awards. **(CPC staff and the Housing and Revitalization Department (HRD)) (ACTION REQUESTED) 60 mins**

This matter was held on February 16, 2024.

Present: Chris Gulock, CPC Staff
Tamara Fountaine Hardy and Kayla Perrin, HRD Staff

Chris Gulock, CPC Staff, presented via PowerPoint a brief summary of the NOF, processes, and detailed review of the eight page chart created by HRD of the titled 2024-2025 - CDBG Public Service Proposal Ranking Summary. HRD Staff presented any changes this year on the items.

Director Todd announced the formal Board of Ethics disclosure forms are forthcoming. Any CPC members that have a relationship with any of the group applicants on the chart must disclose the relationship and if there is any financial interest. Commissioners should recuse themselves and not participate in the deliberations. If Commissioners have a non-financial relationship with a group applicant than verbal disclosure is sufficient.

Commissioner Markowicz made the following disclosure statement:

“I serve on the Board of Commissioners of the Greening of Detroit that is a service. We have no financial relationship of any kind.”

Commissioner Udabe made the following disclosure statement:

“I would like to disclose, my husband did consulting work for Bailey Park Neighborhood Development.”

Director Todd asked about the time frame of Commissioner Udabe’s husband engagement with this organization.

She stated, “He did it more actively last year and would still be considered an active relationship even though he is not working on a project with them right now.”

Director Todd asked her to be specific on the professional engagement timeframe.

She stated, “Probably from January of 2023 to October of 2023.”

Director Todd advised since it is within one year with financial interest, Commissioner Udabe should declare and recuse for the applicable category.

Chris Gulock explained that City administration requests that CPC review and forward Mayor’s Office, HRD, and CPC CDBG recommendations to City Council for a vote. Detroit created NOF as a subset of CDBG. The lead agency is HRD. HRD through the administration will be monitoring to make sure that the funds are distributed as federally required. City of Detroit is expecting to receive its Block Grant allocations which starts July 1, 2024 through June 30, 2025. The five categories used are public safety, education, health, senior, and recreation. Each year HRD releases an RFP and the 12 previously established threshold criteria are listed in the RFP. If the applying group does not meet threshold, it is not recommended for funding. It is a HUD requirement that the City follow its rules. The RFPs are scored and if the group does not meet the basic threshold, it is considered ineligible. Mr. Gulock reviewed the threshold criteria. HRD team reviews proposals and prepares a detailed scoring chart and after reaching a consensus score, makes recommendations based on the scores. This year there was an increase in applications totaling 60 public service applications submitted which is 13 more than last year. City Council will have a public hearing on February 26, 2024, and any appeals hearings. City Council will vote by March 5, 2024.

Ms. Tamra Fountaine-Hardy, Director of Neighborhood Services and Economic Division of HRD stated there were 24 new organizations that applied, and 15 had a low score and 5 did not meet threshold. She explained that some organizations did not submit the required documentation at the time of submission, they are automatically ineligible for a grant. If a group scores under 80 points, they are automatically ineligible for a grant, these are federal

regulations. Many believe that Oracle is challenging for some users. If they upload the incorrect form and they are automatically ineligible for approval for the grant, which is a user error. Ms. Fountaine-Hardy stated that HRD had office hours for organizations to come in and receive direct technical assistance and this is the reason why they have 10 new organizations being recommended this year. Receiving somewhere between \$60,000-\$65,000 each organization. It is believed that the City will receive somewhere between 2.4 million.

Ms. Fountaine-Hardy advised if CPC gave more money to one organization and take away less from a different organization. HRD would have to go a back and rescore each organization for equity and fairness in the process.

Ms. Fountaine-Hardy explained a group that does not make threshold does have the opportunity to appeal the recommendation and afterward HRD will show them their application's weakness and give details on how to strengthen their application in the next application period. She discussed that after the application period is complete and after the Clerk provides the final resolution by City Council and any organization has the opportunity to reach out and they can be walked thru the application process. She agreed with Mr. Gulock that this is a competitive grant, and organizations have to submit competitive applications in response to Commissioner Russell's questions.

Mr. Gulock reviewed each category of the FY 2024-2025 - CDBG Public Service Proposal Ranking Summary with CPC.

First, Mr. Gulock gave an overview on the public safety category, naming each applying group. The results for public safety were six proposals received, three recommended for funding, and three not recommended.

Commissioner Daniels motioned to approve the projects recommended for funding in the public safety category, seconded by Commissioner Markowicz. Motion Approved.

Second, Mr. Gulock gave an overview and named applying groups in the senior category. The results for senior category were 12 proposals received, seven recommended for funding and five not recommended.

Commissioner Markowicz motioned to approve the projects recommended for funding in the senior category, seconded by Commissioner Esparza. Motion Approved.

Third, Mr. Gulock gave an overview and named applying groups in the recreation category. The results for recreation category were seven proposals received, six recommended for funding and one not recommended.

Commissioner Markowicz motioned that approve the projects recommended for funding related to the recreation category, seconded by Commissioner Udabe. Motion Approved.

Commissioner Smith announced the education category will now be reviewed. Mr. Todd advised applicable Commissioners how to recuse and leave the voting table.

Commissioner Markowicz stated, "I recuse myself; I will leave the room while you handle this item."

Fourth, Mr. Gulock gave an overview and named applying groups in the education category. The results for education category were 27 proposals received, 19 recommended for funding and eight not recommended.

Commissioner Udabe motioned to approve those projects identified and recommended for funding in the education category, seconded by Commissioner Esparza. Motion Approved.

Mr. Gulock announced the next category health category will now be reviewed.

Commissioner Udabe stated, “ Can I recuse myself?” Commissioner Smith granted her request.

Fifth, Mr. Gulock gave an overview on the health category. The results for health category were eight proposals received, five recommended for funding and three not recommended.

Commissioner Daniels motioned to approve those projects identified and recommended for funding in the health category, seconded by Commissioner Markowicz. Motion Approved.

Lastly, Mr. Gulock concluded by describing that Staff will assemble the grant awards chart and distribute it. All groups that applied will be notified of the City Council hearing on February 26, 2024. Therefore, this concludes the CPC review.

IV. Public Comment – There was no public comment.

V. Unfinished Business – There was no unfinished business.

VI. New Business – There was no new business.

VII. Committee Reports – There were no committee reports.

VIII. Staff Report – Director Todd discussed the following matters:

- ❖ The next Committee meeting is scheduled for February 21, 2024 at 3:00 p.m.
- ❖ City Council adopted redistricting plan for Option 6. The final plan includes street by street of the districts. CPC is working with the Department of Innovation and Technology (DOIT) for full reproduction and distribution for the purposes of conducting the 2025 election. The redistricting will take full effect in January 2026 when City Council takes office under the new district.
- ❖ It is advised that CPC attend an in-person Board of Ethics training. A potential date for the training will be in March 2024 and available for Commissioners to attend the in-person.

IX. Member Report – Commissioner Harrison requested a meeting with the Detroit Health Department to gain information and a better understanding of their objectives. He explained this information may be helpful to CPC when making zoning decisions that may affect the health and well-being of specific neighborhoods.

Commissioner Markowicz mentioned her concerns with air quality.

X. Communications – There were no Communications.

XI. Adjournment

The meeting of February 15, 2024 recessed at 10:19 p.m. and reconvened at 2:44 p.m., February 16, 2024 and adjourned at 4:08 p.m.