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## Jamie Murphy

 Latawn OdenAnaline Powers, Ph.D.

TO: Honorable City Council
FROM: David Whitaker, Director $b /$ /r
DATE: January 12, 2024
RE: $\quad$ City Council Redistricting - An additional option (Option 6) as requested for the revised boundaries for the seven City Council districts.

A memorandum dated December 15, 2023 from City Council President Mary Sheffield requested that an additional option be drafted for the revised City Council districts based on 2020 Census population data. Guidance given for this new option was to maintain the current district boundaries as much as possible by utilizing the provision that allows for a slight deviation in population between districts.

The initial five options for the new districts aimed to keep the populations of the newly drawn districts as equal as possible. The sixth option represents a minimalist approach. Unlike our previous approaches, which prioritized district populations as close to the 91,400 average for each district, option 6 seeks to take advantage of the limits of the allowable population thresholds to maximize variance between the district populations and thereby provide flexibility in the distribution of the population across the districts. This allows us to make minimal changes to the existing district boundaries, only making the changes needed to bring the populations of district 3,4 and 5 above the lower threshold of 86,830 , and the populations of the remaining districts below the upper limit of 95,970 .

The difference between the highest and lowest populations are still within the $10 \%$ deviation as advised by the Law Department and based on applicable case law. The proposed districts in this new option 6 also conform to the other required considerations such as being contiguous, compact, and maintaining communities of interest.

Attached hereto please find a PDF containing all of the redistricting options LPD/CPC has generated at your request, including the most recently requested 6th option.

Attachment: Potential New Districts - Options 1-6

Proposed Population by District
1-91,659
2-92,178
3-91,495
4-91,154
5-90,709
6-91,850
$7-90,755$

Total Population divided by 7 is 91,400 Based on $+/-5 \%$ rule,
maximum allowable population is 95,970 and minimum population is 86,830
---- Existing City Council Districts ——Election Precincts



## Proposed Population by District

$$
1-91,582
$$

2-91,370
3-90,103
4-90,421
5-91,755
6-91,414
7-91,913
Total Population divided by 7 is 91,400 Based on +/-5\% rule,
maximum allowable population is 95,970 and minimum population is 86,830

Proposed New Districts - Option 4

Proposed Population by District
1-91,823
2 -94,920
3-89,177
4-92,230
5 -88,687
6 - 90,537
7-91,184
Proposed New Districts - Option 5

## Proposed Population by District

1-94,815
2-93,288
3-87,393
4-88,204
5 - 90,056
6-91,087
7-93,715
Proposed New Districts - Option 6

