## **Timothy Boscarino**

From:	Charleston Key < charlestonkeyllc@gmail.com>
Sent:	Tuesday, April 2, 2024 7:05 AM
То:	Timothy Boscarino
Cc:	Joe Barbat; Jessica Parker
Subject:	Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: 1942 Grand River Historic District Commission review

Hello and Good Morning, Timothy

We can get clarification and updated today letters will be white and double check clarification halo-lit letter from the sign company this morning and respond to your notes also as far as the awnings yes they would be set entirely inside the opening of the windows and doors with no mounting or damage to them existing stonework exterior.

As far as the the height of awning from grade to top of Awning 8ft is allowed we will also indicated that as well.

Thank you in advance for the attention to this matter.

I will have that updated by the of day.

On Tue, Apr 2, 2024, 1:08 AM Timothy Boscarino <<u>Timothy.Boscarino@detroitmi.gov</u>> wrote:

Hello, I am reviewing your application in preparation for the April 10 Historic District Commission meeting and there are a few areas where I would appreciate some clarification.

Page 4 shows black, halo-lit letters. Page 5 could be construed to show white letters (on the left side of the image) or internally illuminated letters (on the right side of the image). Assuming page 4 reflects your intent, could you please clarify? Page 6 is also not clear as to if the letters would be black or white.

About pages 7 and 8, it is not clear if the awnings would be set entirely within the opening or if they would overlap onto the stone.

Regarding page 10, how high up would the awning be mounted and how wide will it be with respect to the opening?

As a reminder, the awning locations were an issue we were concerned with in your last submission two months ago. Here is what we wrote in the staff report at that time:

• The application does not provide enough information to evaluate the appropriateness of the four proposed awnings (staff has requested additional information). The renderings suggest that the awnings may overlap

onto the surrounding stone façade. It is also not clear how the awnings are to be mounted. Obscuring any part of the stonework surrounding the openings would be contrary to Standard #2 and the guidance of *Preservation Brief 44* as quoted above. Damaging any part of the stonework, such as by fastening to stone, rather than mortar joints, would be contrary to Standard #9: "exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property."

• The awnings [should] be set entirely within the existing door and window openings, rather than overlap onto the building's stone façade.

Thank you,

Tim

## **Timothy Boscarino, AICP**

Historic Preservation Planner | Planning and Development Department | City of Detroit

Coleman A. Young Municipal Center, 2 Woodward Ave. Suite 808, Detroit, MI 48226

www.detroitmi.gov/pdd

timothy.boscarino@detroitmi.gov

Michael E. Duggan, Mayor

From: Joe Barbat <<u>joe@barbatholdings.com</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2024 7:15 AM
To: Timothy Boscarino <<u>Timothy.Boscarino@detroitmi.gov</u>>
Cc: Charleston Key <<u>charlestonkeyllc@gmail.com</u>>; Jessica Parker <<u>jparker@barbatholdings.com</u>>
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: 1942 Grand River Historic District Commission review

Thank you Timothy.

We will get the application in by Monday and plan for the April 10 meeting.

Charlene let's get on this asap.

Looking forward to working with you